*Annex 2 to the rules of the competition for the leader of a research team – Red Giant  
as part of Action I.1.2 “Excellent Researchers for Research Excellence”  
the “Excellence Initiative – Research University” (IDUB) Programme*

***Evaluation form for the first stage of the competition****Scoring of the candidate’s application*

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **1.** | **Initial evaluation - formal.** | | |
|  | Criterion | Yes | No |
| The candidate meets the formal conditions specified in the call | 🞎 | 🞎 |
| The candidate has submitted all of the required files | 🞎 | 🞎 |
| The project meets the formal conditions specified in the call (implementation time, research area) | 🞎 | 🞎 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Criterion | | Points |
| **2.** | **Evaluation of the research project** | |
|  | Evaluation of the research project and the feasibility of creating a research team – 40% of the final score.  (0-40 points)  Please explain briefly below the number of points awarded. |  |
|  | |
| **3.** | **Evaluation of the Candidate (based on the CV)** | |
| 3.1. | Evaluation of achievements and the ability to achieve goals – 40% of the final score.  (0-40 points)  Please explain briefly below the number of points awarded. |  |
|  | |
| 3.2. | Evaluation of capacity for research team management – 20% of the final score.  (0-20 points)  Please explain briefly below the number of points awarded. |  |
|  | |
| **Total points – stage I**  **(0-100 points)** | |  |
| Remarks | | |
|  | | |

*Annex 2 to the rules of the competition for the leader of a research team – Red Giant  
as part of Action I.1.2 “Excellent Researchers for Research Excellence”  
the “Excellence Initiative – Research University” (IDUB) Programme*

***Evaluation form for the second stage of the competition***

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Criterion | | Points |
| **4.** | **Evaluation of submitted documentation (evaluation by external reviewers)** | |
| 4.1. | Quality of the proposed research idea and its innovativeness – 50% of the final score.  (0-50 points)  Please explain briefly below the number of points awarded. |  |
|  | |
| 4.2. | The likelihood of implementing the research plan (as well as implementation of Programme indicators) – 20% of the final score.  (0-20 points)  Please explain briefly below the number of points awarded. |  |
|  | |
| 4.3. | The candidate’s scientific competencies and potential to create and manage a research team – 30% of the final score.  (0-30 points)  Please explain briefly below the number of points awarded. |  |
|  | |
| **Total points – criteria 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3**  **(0-100 points)** | |  |
| **5.** | **Rozmowa kwalifikacyjna z wybranymi kandydatami (evaluation by external reviewers)** | |
| 5.1. | Quality of the proposed research idea and its innovativeness – 50% of the final score.  (0-50 points)  Please explain briefly below the number of points awarded. |  |
|  | |
| 5.2. | The likelihood of implementing the research plan (as well as implementation of Programme indicators) – 20% of the final score.  (0-20 points)  Please explain briefly below the number of points awarded. |  |
|  | |
| 5.3. | The candidate’s scientific competencies and potential to create and manage a research team – 30% of the final score.  (0-30 points)  Please explain briefly below the number of points awarded. |  |
|  | |
| **Total points – criteria 5.1, 5.2 and 5.3**  **(0-100 points)** | |  |
| **Total points – stage II**  **(0-200 points)** | |  |
| Remarks | | |
|  | | |